UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Christapher Jones Defendant	Case No. 1:13 Cr 9-05
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, refendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of	f Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	sive device of any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the dor local offense.	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
Alternative Findings (A)		
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
(/	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is	
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	.*
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established b will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sat	
Alternative Findings (B)		
` '	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
<u>√</u> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	
conviction committed criminaled been iss	ant is a 22-year-old man with an active history of substance abu- cons for multiple drug felonies and fleeing from officers. In the lating new offenses or for failure to report. Defendant left the states charges and after having reason to believe that a recent drug to sued in all those cases. Defendant was arrested in Ohio on the earance, and his history of committing new crimes while on supnity. Part III – Directions Regard	ast 3 years, his probation has been revoked twice for in December 2012 after incurring four new state ransaction would lead to further charges. Warrants have pending charges. He clearly poses a serious risk of ervision is strong evidence of a danger to the
-		-
correction appeal. Tates Co	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons await. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to corourt or on request of an attorney for the Government, the personal to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	ting or serving sentences or held in custody pending asult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

March 27, 2013

Date: